	Case 2:25-cv-01448-DAD-CKD Docu	ment 6	Filed 06/06/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JUAN CARLOS CALDERON,	N	o. 2:25-cv-1448 CF	KD P	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.	<u>O</u>	<u>RDER</u>		
14	P. COVELLO, et al.,				
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.				
18	Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel				
19	to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490				
20	U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to				
21	voluntarily represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d				
22	1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).				
23	When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider plaintiff's				
24	likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro				
25	se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. <u>Palmer v. Valdez</u> , 560 F.3d 965, 970				
26	(9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel). The				
27	burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. <u>Id.</u> Circumstances				
28	/////	4			
		1			
ļ	I			l	

	Case 2:25-cv-01448-DAD-CKD Document 6 Filed 06/06/25 Page 2 of 2				
1	common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do no				
2	establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel.				
3	Having considered the factors under Palmer, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to				
4	meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of				
5	counsel at this time.				
6	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of				
7	counsel (ECF No. 3) is denied without prejudice.				
8	Dated: June 5, 2025 Carop U. Delany				
9	CAROLYN K. DELANEY				
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
11					
12					
13	1 cald1448.31				
14					
1516					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					